


Observation of an Acryloyl–Thiamin Diphosphate Adduct in the First Step of Clavulanic Acid Biosynthesis

Matthew Merski, and Craig A. Townsend

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2007**, 129 (51), 15750-15751 • DOI: 10.1021/ja076704r

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 9, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 1 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)

Observation of an Acryloyl–Thiamin Diphosphate Adduct in the First Step of Clavulanic Acid Biosynthesis

Matthew Merski and Craig A. Townsend*

Departments of Biophysics and Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Received September 5, 2007; E-mail: ctownsend@jhu.edu

With the rise in resistance to penicillins and cephalosporins, the β -lactamase inhibitor clavulanic acid (**1**) has grown in importance for the treatment of bacterial infections.¹ Several mechanistically intriguing reactions take place in the biosynthesis of **1**,² the first of which is mediated by *N*²-(2-carboxyethyl)arginine synthase (CEAS). The primary metabolites D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) and L-arginine are recruited by this enzyme and reacted in a thiamine diphosphate (ThDP)-dependent internal redox process to yield *N*²-(2-carboxyethyl)arginine (**6**, CEA; Scheme 1).³ The mechanism shown in Scheme 1 was proposed in accord with extensive isotopic labeling and stereochemical experiments.³ A key feature of this mechanism was the suggested intermediacy of an acryloyl–ThDP adduct **4**. The observation of overall retention of configuration at the G3P β -carbon is consistent with a β -elimination/addition process proceeding by way of this highly electrophilic⁴ partner for reaction with the incoming L-arginine. This is a unique reaction cycle among ThDP-dependent enzymes where typically intermediates formed are nucleophilic rather than electrophilic, and N–C bond formation has not been seen previously. In this Communication, we demonstrate the formation of the acryloyl species **4** and report the observation of an unexpectedly long-wavelength chromophore, which owes to the presence of the acryloyl intermediate.

Recombinant CEAS³ was purified to near homogeneity on a large scale using two-phase aqueous separation,⁵ followed by chromatographic steps involving ion exchange and particle size to remove aggregated protein (in preparation). Synthesis of the co-substrate G3P in high optical purity began with acrolein diethylacetal (**7**) and proceeded according to the literature⁶ to the diethylacetal of G3P (**8**, Figure 1A). Removal of unwanted salts and unreacted organic starting materials and byproducts was achieved by chromatography on microcrystalline cellulose followed by a short C-18 column eluted with water. Analysis of an intermediate alcohol as its Mosher ester showed an enantiomeric purity of >95%. Dowex-50 deprotection of the acetal afforded G3P, which could be quantified in solution by assay with G3P dehydrogenase.⁷

It was anticipated that incubation of CEAS with G3P alone would generate the acryloyl–ThDP intermediate **4**, which, it was hoped, could be dislodged from the protein under acidic conditions as precedented for other enzymes of this family.⁸ Failure of this approach was not surprising given the intrinsic reactivity of the acryloyl–ThDP adduct under the conditions of the experiment. Turnover of G3P, however, was detected at pH 7.8 by phosphate release⁹ relative to controls. We expected concomitant hydrolytic release of free acrylate, which, while not volatile at this pH, is prone to β -addition, perhaps with CEAS itself, and polymerization. The incubation mixture was lyophilized and separately derivatized with *p*-nitrobenzylchloride¹⁰ or *tert*-butyldimethylsilylchloride¹¹ in DMF. Isolations of the corresponding esters of acrylic acid were coincident with authentic standards by TLC and HPLC, and their distinctive vinyl resonance patterns were observed by ¹H NMR

Scheme 1






Figure 1. (A) Synthesis of G3P. (B) Spectroscopic observation of the CEAS intermediate at 25 °C, pH 7.8 (CEAS ± G3P).

spectroscopy. Multiple turnovers of G3P to acrylate were found, and controls without CEAS gave no spontaneous generation of acrylate.

Quite unexpected during these experiments, however, was the observation of a yellow chromophore ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 433 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = \sim 4000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, Figure 1B) thought to arise from the acryloyl intermediate. Addition of sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS, 0.1% w/v) to mildly denature the protein destroyed the chromophore, suggesting that its formation is intimately tied to the native state of the protein and not a released byproduct of reaction. Last, extended incubation consumed all G3P with loss of the chromophore, but it was restored by addition of fresh substrate. The cycle could be repeated several times.

Models of intermediates proposed to be bound to ThDP-dependent enzymes have been synthesized over the years,^{12–16} and comparison of their UV–visible behavior allows confident prediction of the λ_{max} for acryloyl–ThDP (**4**) at 310–320 nm in water, a far shorter wavelength than observed for the CEAS intermediate. To confirm this expectation, a synthetic model of **4** was prepared, 2-acryloyl-4-methyl thiazole (**11**, Scheme 2). 4-Methylthiazole (**9**) was lithiated at $-78 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ with *n*-BuLi and reacted with acrolein.¹² The sensitive allylic alcohol **10** could be oxidized to **11** in 87% yield with the Dess–Martin periodinane.¹⁷ Its lowest energy absorption band in acetonitrile ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 316 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = 7150 \text{ M}^{-1}$

Scheme 2



cm^{-1}) was fully within expectations. Addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) allowed measurement of the transient protonated species, blue-shifted in accord with the literature ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 312 \text{ nm}$),^{12,15} before rapid conversion to the TFA addition product **12**.

The high electrophilicity of the acryloyl–ThDP intermediate **4** raised the possibility that intramolecular imine formation with the aminopyrimidine portion of the cofactor could occur to extend the conjugation of the chromophore (**13**, Scheme 2) and thereby account for its 433 nm absorption. This condensation notwithstanding, reversible hydrolysis can be readily visualized to give acrylate isolated above. Formation of a seven-membered ring was expected to be disfavored, particularly one containing three double bonds. A careful study by Gruys et al. of a related system, acetyl–ThDP (**18**, Scheme 2), showed that, while imine formation could not be detected, cyclization to the carbinolamine (**19**, Scheme 2) was clearly evident.¹² To examine the UV spectroscopic behavior of such a possible conjugated π -system, a second model, *N*-(1-(4-methylthiazol-2-yl)allylidene)benzenamine (**17**, Scheme 2), was constructed. Once again, 4-methylthiazole (**9**) was lithiated and carbonated to carboxylic acid **14**.¹⁸ Reaction of the corresponding acid chloride **15** proceeded simply to the anilide **16**, which underwent Von Braun reaction¹⁹ to the imidoylchloride and Stille coupling²⁰ to the desired through-conjugated model **17**. Its UV spectrum in acetonitrile of both the neutral [$\lambda_{\text{max}} = 311 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = 6250 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; 360 nm (sh), $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = 2440 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$] and its protonated form ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 377 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = 12\,600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) fell considerably short of that of the acryloyl intermediate on CEAS.

Charge-transfer (CT) phenomena are often invoked to explain bathochromic shifts seen in protein chromophores, and indeed, they have been proposed for other ThDP-dependent enzymes.²¹ Three lines of evidence, however, discount CT as a probable cause for the appearance of the 433 nm band in CEAS. First, the $\sim 120 \text{ nm}$ ($\sim 25 \text{ kcal/mol}$) difference between model compounds and the observed intermediate is unusually large to be rationalized by charge transfer.²² Second, features in the circular dichroism (CD) spectrum are frequently, although not always, seen as a consequence of CT.²¹ No changes in the CD spectrum of CEAS were detected upon formation of the chromophore. Third, the appearance of CT bands

is typically altered by changes in solvent composition or temperature. This behavior was not observed.

We have isolated acrylic acid from the first half-reaction of CEAS, supporting the proposed existence of the acryloyl–ThDP adduct **4** (Scheme 1). The thiazolium ring of this reactive intermediate bears a formal positive charge irrespective of pH. There are well-known examples of charged molecules that exhibit significantly red-shifted UV–visible spectra compared to their neutral forms as, for example, polyene cations²³ and dyes such as indigo and the cyanines.²⁴ Rhodopsin is the classic biochemical example where the protein (opsin)-bound protonated Schiff base (PSB) of retinal displays a remarkable range of red-shifted absorptions modulated by the protein environment and a highly conjugated protonated iminium ion. Extensive dipole and electrostatic effects imparted by the protein are thought to “tune” a collective Stark effect for each rhodopsin chromophore.²⁵ The extent to which a similar model can be applied to CEAS will be addressed in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. J. M. McFadden and K. A. Moshos for advice with synthetic procedures, and we are grateful to the National Institutes of Health for financial support (AI 014937).

Supporting Information Available: Syntheses of model compounds **11** and **17** and the isolation of acrylate are described. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) Elander, R. P. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *61*, 385–392.
- (2) Townsend, C. A. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2002**, *6*, 583–589.
- (3) Khaleeli, N.; Li, R. F.; Townsend, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9223–9224 and refs cited therein.
- (4) Evans, D. A.; Fandrick, K. R.; Song, H. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8942–8943.
- (5) Engel, S.; Barak, Z.; Chipman, D. M.; Merchuk, J. C. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **2000**, *743*, 281–286.
- (6) Pederson, R. L.; Liu, K. K. C.; Rutan, J. F.; Chen, L.; Wong, C. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 4897–4901.
- (7) Sigma-Aldrich, Technical Bulletin.
- (8) Tittmann, K.; Golbik, R.; Uhlemann, K.; Khailova, L.; Schneider, G.; Patel, M.; Jordan, F.; Chipman, D. M.; Duggleby, R. G.; Hubner, G. *Biochemistry* **2003**, *42*, 7885–7891.
- (9) Webb, M. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1992**, *89*, 4884–4887.
- (10) Li, R. F.; Stapon, A.; Blanchfield, J. T.; Townsend, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9296–9297.
- (11) Mawhinney, T. P.; Madson, M. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3336–3339.
- (12) Gruys, K. J.; Halkides, C. J.; Frey, P. A. *Biochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 7575–7585.
- (13) Daigo, K.; Reed, L. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, *84*, 659–662.
- (14) Oka, Y.; Kishimoto, S.; Hirano, H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1970**, *18*, 527–533.
- (15) Lienhard, G. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 5642–5649.
- (16) White, F. G.; Ingraham, L. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, *84*, 3109–3111.
- (17) Ireland, R. E.; Liu, L. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2899–2899.
- (18) Iversen, P. E. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1968**, *22*, 694–695.
- (19) Vaughan, W. R.; Carlson, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 769–774.
- (20) Kosugi, M.; Koshiba, M.; Atoh, A.; Sano, H.; Migita, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc., Jpn.* **1985**, *59*, 677–679.
- (21) Nemeria, N.; Baykal, A.; Joseph, E.; Zhang, S.; Yan, Y.; Furey, W.; Jordan, F. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 6565–6575.
- (22) Mataga, N.; Kubota, T. *Molecular Interactions and Electronic Spectra*; Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 1970; pp 371–484.
- (23) Sorensen, T. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 5075–5084.
- (24) Hamer, F. M. *The Cyanine Dyes and Related Compounds*. In *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds*; Weissberger, A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1969.
- (25) Kochendoerfer, G. G.; Lin, S. W.; Sakmar, T. P.; Mathies, R. A. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1999**, *24*, 300–305.

JA076704R